

MIST:

Insight from the DVDMDG May
24, 2011 Rozman Symposium

By

Christopher J. Kemper, Ph.D.
Pharma Navigators, LLC

“MIST” = Metabolites in Safety Testing

From Tom Baillie (2011):

Central Question:

“Are human metabolites of a drug candidate, as well as the parent compound, adequately evaluated for safety during preclinical toxicology studies?”

Issue:

Historically, lack of consistency in approach to the issue (from both industry and regulators)

Some History

- Sterling Winthrop and Wyeth were early Drug Metabolism departments in pharma
 - Ruelius/Janssen 1-O-Acyl Glucuronide work in the '70/80s
- Baillie et al. 2002; MIST paper
- Hastings et al., 2003; FDA commentary on the MIST
- Baillie et al., 2003; Reply to FDA
- FDA *Draft* Guidance on Safety Testing of Drug Metabolites, 2005
- Guidance *Finalized* 2008

and a Small Part by the DVDMDG

- Lew Klunk, 2002
- Martin Green, FDA, June 2005
- Aisar Atrakchi, FDA, September 26, 2008
- Rozman May 24, 2011

“MIST” – An Opportunity for Poetic License

D. A. Smith and R. S. Obach, “Seeing through the MIST.....”

Drug Metab. Dispos., **33**, 1409-1417 (2005)

D. A. Smith *et al.*, “Clearing the MIST of time.....”

Chemico-Biol. Interact., **179**, 60-67 (2009)

L. Leclercq *et al.*, “Which human metabolites have we MIST?.....”

Chem. Res. Toxicol., **22**, 280-293 (2009)

D. A. Smith and R. S. Obach, “Metabolites: have we MIST out the importance of structure and physicochemistry?”

Bioanalysis, **2**, 1223-1233 (2010)

A. N. R. Nedderman and P. Wright, “Looking back through the MIST.....”

Bioanalysis, **2**, 1235-1248 (2010)

So What's the Big Deal?

- “.....(the MIST Guidance), if interpreted *verbatim*, creates the potential for a resource burden during early development and through Phase II that could increase the scrutiny of metabolites that have a negligible chance of contributing to pharmacology or toxicology”
- **Key concerns for industry:**
 - (1) **Resource and time implications for drug development**
 - (2) **Scientific merit of extensive studies on metabolites**

FDA Guidance on “Safety Testing of Drug Metabolites”

- Applies only to small molecule non-biologic drug products
- Excludes:
 - Anti-cancer agents
 - Drug conjugates (other than acylglucuronides)
 - Reactive intermediates
- Focuses on:
 - Stable metabolites circulating in human plasma
 - Unique or “disproportionate” metabolites in humans
- Key Recommendations:
 - Metabolites whose AUC_p at steady-state is <10% that of parent need no further study
 - - If AUC_p is >10% of parent, require “coverage” (exposure margin ≥ 1) in at least 1 tox species
 - Otherwise, human metabolite is “disproportionate” and may require testing
- Types of Toxicology Studies that may be Required:
 - General tox (3 months), genotoxicity, embryo-fetal development tox, carcinogenicity

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/guidancecomplianceregulatoryinformation/guidances/ucm079266.pdf>

ICH Topic M3 (R2)

Non-Clinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical Trials and Marketing Authorization for Pharmaceuticals

Key recommendations:

- - Only those human metabolites observed at levels $\geq 10\%$ of *total drug-related exposure* require nonclinical characterization, if they circulate at “significantly greater” levels in humans than the maximum exposure in animal toxicology studies
- - For drugs dosed at $< 10\text{mg} / \text{day}$, a larger % of the total drug-related material might be appropriate before safety testing is needed
- Some metabolites do not warrant testing (eg “most GSH conjugates”)
- “Unique human metabolites” should be considered on a case-by-case basis
- http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Safety/M3_R2/Step4/M3_R2__Guideline.pdf

Practical Issues with MIST Guidances

FDA Guidance

- How to assess those metabolites in human plasma that circulate at $\geq 10\%$ AUC of parent drug under **steady-state** dosing conditions?
 - Multiple ascending dose (safety/tolerability) study with “cold” drug and LC-MS/MS?
 - Availability of validated assay for metabolite(s)?
 - Projections from single dose PK data?

ICH Guidance

- How to assess “**total drug-related exposure**” in plasma?
 - Radioactive dose (with required GMP synthesis, dosimetry, etc)?

As of January, 2010, where the FDA and ICH Guidances differ, the ICH Guidance supersedes the FDA guidance

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM073246.pdf>

T. W. Robinson and A. Jacobs, *Bioanalysis*, **1**: 1193-1200 (2009)

Where are We Today with MIST?

- Recognition of the importance of obtaining information on the identities and circulating levels of drug metabolites in humans as early as practically possible, and relating these data to animal studies
- Widespread adoption of new methodologies (eg NMR, HRMS, *in vitro/in vivo* modeling) to accomplish these objectives in the absence of radiolabeled tracers
- Broader appreciation of the complexity of drug metabolism issues, and the need for a case-by-case approach to MIST that is based on sound scientific principles (and common sense)
- Improved understanding of acyl glucuronides (*vis-à-vis* FDA classification as “toxic compounds”)
- Increased awareness of species differences in drug metabolism (eg through reactions catalyzed by aldehyde oxidase)

Conclusions

- After over 40 years, the technology has allowed us to obtain copious amounts of biotransformation data
- There is no “check box” process to deal with metabolite safety issues
- The concept of a “case by case basis” is still fundamental

Acknowledgements

- Tom Baillie : Lew Klunk
- Wyeth
 - Hans Ruelius, Frank Janssen, Sandy Gonzalez
- FDA
 - Shiew-Mei Huang, Aisar Atrakchi (2008), Martin Green (2005), David Jacobson-Kram
- The DVDMDG